and the state of t	
Ref:	
Journal No:	
Journal Name:	

Powys County Council

Capital Budget Virement Application Form

[Please see guidance notes on page 2532 of the Intranet]

To H	ead o	f Finance						
From	P	ace			(Directo	rate)		
	Schools (Service)							
	lan Roberts (Head of Service)							
	David Thompson (Project Manager)							
Date	27	h February	2015					
see re	al page port b chem	e if required) pelow. nes name: L	eighton CP	alterations			uded and exten	d box to
		reases						
Schem			As above					
Job Co	de		As above					
		Total Cost	Prior Years	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Future Years	
		£	£	£	£	3	£	
Existin Budge		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Revise Budget		26,500.00		1,000.00	25,500.00	0.00	0.00	
Increas Require	se	26,500.00	0.00	1,000.00	25,500.00	0.00	0.00	
	of Sch	eme Reduceo	d: Schools Ca	pital Unalloca	ated			
		Total Cost	Prior Years	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Future Years	1
		£	£	£	£	£	£	
Existin Budge		135,885.99		8,755.68	127,130.31	0.00		
Revise		109,385.99		7,755.68	101,630.31	0.00	0.00	

-1,000.00

0.00

-25,500.00

0.00

0.00

26,500.00

Decrease Required

General Capital	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Supported Borrowing	95,529.83		0.00	95,529.83	0.00	0.00
Prudential Borrowing	40,356.16		8,755.68	31,600.48	0.00	0.00
Revenue/ Reserves	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	135,885.99	0.00	8,755.68	127,130.31	0.00	0,00

Other Financial Implications (e.g. future years capital & revenue – must not be left blank)
The work is subject to detailed design, receipt of tender prices and all works are subject to the agreement of contract final accounts.

Approvals Signatures **Head of Schools** In all cases Date Service In all cases Head of Finance Date Portfolio Cabinet £25,001 -Member for Education Date £75,000 & Childrens Services £75,001 -Cabinet Minute Ref. Date £300,000 Over Council Minute Ref. Date £300,000

FMS Updated (office use only	/)	
Accountant: Signature	Print Name	Date
Copy of Authorised form retu	rned to Head of Service	
Signature	Print Name	Date

Capital Budget Virement Report to Portfolio Holder for Learning & Leisure and Cabinet Members – Leighton CP alterations to create a staffroom

Background

The existing school does not have a designated staffroom facility for rest and Teacher PPA. There are a total of ten teachers including LSA's who require this facility as the current arrangements are unsuitable and insufficient to meet Health & Safety legislation and to support school educational delivery. The lack of staff facilities has been previously flagged during an Estyn inspection.

Proposal

The proposal is to convert the existing decommissioned kitchen and undertake alterations to create a fit for purpose staff room facility. The existing de-commissioned kitchen building will require internal improvements including new space heating, thermal insulation, kitchenette, upgrading the lighting, floor finishes and IT infrastructure to support Teacher PPA.

Options considered

- Option 1 Do nothing.
- Option 2 To undertake alterations to convert the existing decommissioned kitchen into a staff room facility.

Risks

The status quo represents a significant risk to the authority in terms of:

- o If **Option 1** is chosen this will not enable the authority to meet Health and Safety legislation for school staff facilities.
- If Option 2 is chosen this will enable the authority to meet Health and Safety legislation for school staff facilities.

Service objectives/benefits

Powys Change Plan - Maintaining the Schools to a fit for purpose standard ensuring they are capable of delivering high quality educational experiences for all pupils. The infrastructure improvement of these facilities will ensure the authority demonstrates it is complying with Health & Safety legislation balanced with the improvement of facilities to create a fit for purpose standard.

Financing

This type of investment represents an upgrading of the Schools Services infrastructure and is not normally the type of project funded by the schools delegated budget.

The overall estimated costs of this work is £26,500 including design and statutory fees.

Rationale for recommendation

It is recommended to proceed with Option 2 to ensure the safe delivery of the programme of construction work.

Date of report: 27th February 2015

By: David Thompson